Notice: The following agenda describes the issues that the Board plans to consider at the meeting. At the time of the meeting, items may be removed from the agenda. Please consult the meeting minutes for a description of the action and deliberation of the Board.

AGENDA

10:30 A.M.

OPEN SESSION – CALL TO ORDER – ROLL CALL

A. Adoption of Agenda (1-4)

B. Approval of Minutes of September 22, 2016 (5-10)

C. Administrative Updates – Discussion and Consideration
   1) Staff Updates
   2) Board Member – Term Expiration Date
      a. Grace Degner – 7/1/2018 (Appointed, not yet confirmed)
      b. Franklin LaDien – 7/1/2016 (Reappointed, not yet confirmed)
      c. Terry Maves – 7/1/2018
      d. Thaddeus Schumacher – 7/1/2019
      e. Kristi Sullivan – 7/1/2016 (Reappointed, not yet confirmed)
      f. Philip Trapskin – 7/1/2017
      g. Cathy Winters – 7/1/2017
   2) Recusal Information (11-12)
   3) Possible Change to the January 19, 2017 Board Meeting Date

D. Legislation/Administrative Rule Matters – Discussion and Consideration
   1) Update on Legislation and Pending or Possible Rulemaking Projects

E. Pilot Program Matters – Discussion and Consideration
   1) List of Approvals for Pilot Programs Since September 22, 2016 Meeting (13)
   2) Appearances
   3) Other Related Matters

F. Informational Items – Discussion and Consideration
   1) North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination (NAPLEX) and Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination (MPJE) 2016 Graduate Performance Data (14-16)

G. Items Received After Preparation of the Agenda
   1) Introductions, Announcements and Recognition
   2) Election of Board Officers
   3) Appointment of Board Liaisons
   4) Administrative Updates
5) Education and Examination Matters
6) Credentialing Matters
7) Practice Matters
8) Legislation/Administrative Rule Matters
9) Informational Items
10) Disciplinary Matters
11) Presentations of Petitions for Summary Suspension
12) Petitions for Designation of Hearing Examiner
13) Presentation of Proposed Stipulations, Final Decisions and Orders
14) Presentation of Proposed Final Decision and Orders
15) Presentation of Interim Orders
16) Petitions for Re-Hearing
17) Petitions for Assessments
18) Petitions to Vacate Orders
19) Requests for Disciplinary Proceeding Presentations
20) Motions
21) Petitions
22) Appearances from Requests Received or Renewed
23) Speaking Engagement(s), Travel, or Public Relations Request(s)
24) Division of Legal Services and Compliance (DLSC) Matters
25) Prescription Drug Monitoring Program Information
26) Consulting with Legal Counsel
27) **Liaison Report(s)**
   a. Appointed to Controlled Substances Board per Wis. Stats. §15.405(5g): Franklin LaDien
   b. Continuing Education (CE) and Education and Examinations Liaison: Terry Maves
   c. Credentialing Liaison(s): Terry Maves, Cathy Winters
   d. Digest Liaison: Philip Trapskin
   e. DLSC Liaison: Thaddeus Schumacher, Cathy Winters
   f. Legislative Liaison: Philip Trapskin, Thaddeus Schumacher, Terry Maves
   g. Monitoring Liaison(s): Franklin LaDien, Cathy Winters
   h. PHARM Rep to State Council on Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse (SCAODA): Kristi Sullivan
   i. Pharmacy Rules Committee: Thaddeus Schumacher, Franklin LaDien, Philip Trapskin, Kristi Sullivan
   j. Professional Assistance Procedure (PAP) Liaison: Franklin LaDien
   k. Screening Panel: Cathy Winters, Kristi Sullivan, Philip Trapskin
   l. Pilot Program Report Liaison(s): Philip Trapskin, Cathy Winters

**H. Public Comments**

**CONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION** to deliberate on cases following hearing (s. 19.85(1)(a), Stats.); to consider licensure or certification of individuals (s. 19.85(1)(b), Stats.); to consider closing disciplinary investigations with administrative warnings (ss. 19.85 (1)(b), and 440.205, Stats.); to consider individual histories or disciplinary data (s. 19.85 (1)(f), Stats.); and to confer with legal counsel (s. 19.85(1)(g), Stats.).

**I. Deliberation on Division of Legal Services and Compliance (DLSC) Matters**
1) **Administrative Warning(s)**
   a. 16 PHM 003 (B.R.O.) (17-18)
2) **Proposed Stipulation(s), Final Decision and Order(s)**
   a. 15 PHM 169 (B.R.S.) (19-31)
   b. 16 PHM 078 (C.M.K.) (32-39)
3) **Case Closing(s)**
   a. 15 PHM 063 (40-47)
   b. 15 PHM 126 (48-52)
   c. 15 PHM 210 (53-56)
   d. 16 PHM 029 (57-61)
   e. 16 PHM 030 (62-66)
   f. 16 PHM 031 (67-71)
   g. 16 PHM 032 (72-76)

4) **Monitoring (77-124)**
   a. Allan Mailloux – Full Licensure (79-93)
   b. Ryan Nelson – Full Licensure (94-124)

J. **Deliberation on Credentialing Matters**
   1) Application Review
      a. Chad Ronnebaum – Pharmacist Application (125-149)
      b. Thomas Welke – Pharmacist Renewal Application (150-188)

K. **Consult with Legal Counsel**
   1) **15CV94 Pufall v. Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board**

L. **Deliberation of Items Received After Preparation of Agenda**
   1) Education and Examination Matters
   2) Credentialing Matters
   3) Disciplinary Matters
   4) Monitoring Matters
   5) Professional Assistance Procedure (PAP) Matters
   6) Petitions for Summary Suspension
   7) Petitions for Designation of Hearing Examiner
   8) Proposed Stipulations, Final Decisions and Orders
   9) Administrative Warnings
   10) Review of Administrative Warnings
   11) Proposed Final Decisions and Orders
   12) Orders Fixing Costs/Matters Related to Costs
   13) Case Closings
   14) Proposed Interim Orders
   15) Petitions for Assessments and Evaluations
   16) Petitions to Vacate Orders
   17) Remedial Education Cases
   18) Motions
   19) Petitions for Re-Hearing
   20) Appearances from Requests Received or Renewed
RECONVENE INTO OPEN SESSION IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING CLOSED SESSION

M. Voting on Items Considered or Deliberated upon in Closed Session, if Voting is Appropriate

N. Board Meeting Process (Time Allocation, Agenda Items) – Discussion and Consideration
   1) APPEARANCE: Cody Wagner, DSPS DLSC Attorney – Screening Delegation (189-190)

ADJOURNMENT

The Next Scheduled Meeting is January 19, 2017.
PRESENT:  Grace Degner, Franklin LaDien, Terry Maves, Thaddeus Schumacher, Philip Trapskin, Kristi Sullivan, Cathy Winters

STAFF:  Dan Williams – Executive Director, Nilajah Hardin – Bureau Assistant, Sharon Henes – Administrative Rules Coordinator, and other Department staff

CALL TO ORDER

Thaddeus Schumacher, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:40 a.m. A quorum of seven (7) members was confirmed.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Amendments to the Agenda:
- Under Item I.6. Credentialing Matters: Add “Update on MPJE Test Question Committee”

MOTION:  Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to adopt the agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JULY 21, 2016

MOTION:  Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Terry Maves, to approve the minutes of July 21, 2016 as published. Motion carried unanimously.

PILOT PROGRAM MATTERS

List of Requests for Pilot Program Approval

MOTION:  Franklin LaDien moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to delegate authority to the Board’s Executive Director to review and approve any requests for participation in the following Pilot Programs: Institution Tech-Check-Tech, Pharmacy Technician Ratio, and Automated Technology Final Check for the duration of the Pilots. Motion carried unanimously.

MOTION:  Terry Maves moved, seconded by Philip Trapskin, to approve the following pharmacies to participate in the Institution Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program:
1. Aurora Baycare Medical Center – Green Bay
2. Aurora Medical Center – Kenosha
3. Aurora Medical Center – Two Rivers
4. Aurora Memorial Medical – Sheboygan
5. Aurora St Lukes – Milwaukee
6. Community Memorial – Menomonee Falls
7. Froedtert Mem Lutheran – Milwaukee
8. Gundersen Lutheran – La Crosse
9. Healthdirect Institutional Pharm – La Crosse
10. Mayo Health Systems – La Crosse
11. Mercy Medical – Oshkosh
12.  Omnicare – La Crosse
13.  Omnicare – Milwaukee
14.  St. Clare’s Hospital – Baraboo
15.  St. Elizabeth Hospital – Appleton
16.  St. Joseph’s Hospital – Marshfield
17.  St. Michael’s Hospital – Stevens Point
18.  UW Hospital – Madison

Motion carried unanimously.

**MOTION:** Terry Maves moved, seconded by Philip Trapskin, to approve the following pharmacies to participate in the Pharmacy Technician Ratio Pilot Program:
1.  Aurora Specialty – Butler
2.  Cass Street Pharm – La Crosse
3.  Clinton Pharm – Clinton
4.  Foster & Smith Pharm – Rhinelander
5.  Healthdirect Institutional Pharm – Appleton
6.  Healthdirect Institutional Pharm – Eau Claire
7.  Healthdirect Institutional Pharm – La Crosse
8.  Hoey Apothecary – Madison
9.  Mallatt’s – Madison
10. Mallatt’s - Menomonee Falls
11. MedPak Pharm – La Crosse
12. Morton LTC – Neenah
13. O’Connell Pharm – Sun Prairie
14. Omnicare – Appleton
15. Omnicare – Chippewa Falls
16. Omnicare – La Crosse
17. Omnicare – Madison
18. Omnicare – Milwaukee
19. Richland Family Prescript – Richland Center
20. Reedsburg Family Prescript – Reedsburg
21. RockMED LTC – Edgerton
22. Streu’s Pharm – Green Bay
23. Women’s Inter Pharm – Madison

Motion carried unanimously.

**MOTION:** Terry Maves moved, seconded by Philip Trapskin, to approve the following pharmacies to participate in the Automated Technology Final Check Pilot Program:
1.  Aurora St Lukes – Milwaukee
2.  Healthdirect Institutional Pharm – La Crosse
3.  Mercy Health System – Janesville
4.  Omnicare – Milwaukee
5.  Phoenix Pharmacy – Milwaukee
6.  RockMED LTC – Edgerton
7.  UW Hospital – Madison
8.  Winnebago Mental health – Winnebago

Motion carried unanimously.
Appearances

Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin (PSW) – Advancing Community Pharmacy Quality Pilot Program

MOTION: Cathy Winters moved, seconded by Franklin LaDien, to find the Community Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program is related to the practice of pharmacy or prescription verification, and the program will improve the safety, quality, or efficiency of the practice of pharmacy in Wisconsin. The Board authorizes the Community Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program, and approves waiver(s) from the Wis. Admin. Code §§ Phar 7.01(1)(c), Phar 7.01(1)(d), Phar 7.015(3)(a), and Phar 7.015(4) with the following changes:
1. Licensed and located in the state of Wisconsin
2. Have a continuous quality improvement program which means a system of standards and procedures to identify and evaluate quality-related events, and to constantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the structures and process of a pharmacy system that determine the outcomes of medication use
3. Willingness to participate in the research studies of Community Tech-Check-Tech approved by the Board
4. Patient consultation will include a procedure like “show and tell” which affords the pharmacist a visual check of the medication before it is dispensed to the patient or inclusion of the description of the pill and associated markings/imprints on the prescription label for the patient to visually check the medication after it is dispensed
5. The reporting form and requirements will be amended to match the Institutional Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program

The Board delegates authority to the Pilot Program Liaison to work with this Pilot Program. The Community Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program will begin on November 1, 2016 and end on October 31, 2019, or the promulgation of rules, whichever is sooner. Motion carried unanimously.

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to approve the Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin’s (PSW) Advancing Community Pharmacy Quality Pilot Program research study as meeting the requirements of the Community Tech-Check-Tech Pilot Program. Motion carried unanimously.

Other Related Matters

MOTION: Franklin LaDien moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to request DSPS staff modify all forms related Pharmacy Technician Ratio Pilot Program to include interns and delegate the Chair to approved these forms. Motion carried unanimously.
LEGISLATIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULE MATTERS

Update on Board of Nursing Rules

MOTION: Franklin LaDien moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to authorize the Chair to request information regarding dispensing and prescribing of medication from the Board of Nursing. Motion carried unanimously.

Phar 7.10 Relating to Act 290

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to authorize the Chair to approve the emergency rule draft of Phar 7.10 relating to Act 290 for submission to the Governor’s Office and Publication and the corresponding preliminary rule draft for posting of economic impact comments and submission to the Clearinghouse. Motion carried unanimously.

CLOSED SESSION

MOTION: Franklin LaDien moved, seconded by Terry Maves, to convene to closed session to deliberate on cases following hearing (s. 19.85(1)(a), Stats.); to consider licensure or certification of individuals (s. 19.85(1)(b), Stats.); to consider closing disciplinary investigations with administrative warnings (ss. 19.85 (1)(b), and 440.205, Stats.); to consider individual histories or disciplinary data (s. 19.85 (1)(f), Stats.); and to confer with legal counsel (s. 19.85(1)(g), Stats.). Thaddeus Schumacher, Chair, read the language of the motion. The vote of each member was ascertained by voice vote. Roll Call Vote: Grace Degner-yes; Franklin LaDien –yes; Terry Maves-yes; Thaddeus Schumacher-yes; Kristi Sullivan- yes; Philip Trapskin-yes; Cathy Winters-yes. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board convened into Closed Session at 3:13 p.m.

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to reconvene into open session. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board reconvened into Open Session at 5:00 p.m.

VOTING ON ITEMS CONSIDERED OR DELIBERATED ON IN CLOSED SESSION

MOTION: Kristi Sullivan moved, seconded by Franklin LaDien, to affirm all motions made in closed session. Motion carried unanimously.
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES AND COMPLIANCE (DLSC) MATTERS

Administrative Warning(s)

15 PHM 035 – T.J.L.

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to issue an Administrative Warning in the matter of 15 PHM 035 (T.J.L.). Motion carried unanimously.

Proposed Stipulations, Final Decisions and Orders

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to adopt the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in the matter of disciplinary proceedings against:
1. 15 PHM 035 (C.H.W.)
2. 15 PHM 175 (P.A.K.)
3. 16 PHM 070 (A.P.)
Motion carried unanimously.

16 PHM 003 – B.R.O.

MOTION: Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Terry Maves, to reject the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in the matter of disciplinary proceedings against Brian R. Olson, R.Ph., DLSC case number 16 PHM 003. Motion carried. Recused: Thaddeus Schumacher

(Thaddeus Schumacher recused himself and left the room for deliberation, and voting in the matter concerning 16 PHM 003 (B.R.O.).)

Case Closings

MOTION: Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Cathy Winters, to close the DLSC cases for the reasons outlined below:
1. 15 PHM 037 (A.P.) – Prosecutorial Discretion (P5 - Flag)
2. 15 PHM 127 (P.C.) - Prosecutorial Discretion (P5 - Flag)
3. 15 PHM 155 (W.P, W.P, and W.P.) – Insufficient Evidence
4. 15 PHM 218 (C.V.S. and D.S.) – Prosecutorial Discretion (P2)
5. 16 PHM 074 (C.L.W. and S.P.) - Insufficient Evidence
6. 16 PHM 083 (A.C.P.) - Prosecutorial Discretion (P2)
7. 16 PHM 088 (S.P.S.) - Prosecutorial Discretion (P2)
8. 16 PHM 092 (K.C.P.) - Prosecutorial Discretion (P2)
9. 16 PHM 108 (M.F.V.) - Prosecutorial Discretion (P2)
Motion carried unanimously.
Monitoring

Erin Orth, R.Ph. – Requesting Full Licensure

MOTION: Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to deny the request of Erin Orth, R.Ph. for full licensure. The Board instead grants a reduction in drug and alcohol screens to 14 per year and one annual hair test and unlimited PIC hours. Reason for Denial: Insufficient time of compliance under the terms of the Order (09/23/2009). The Respondent shall show complete compliance for six months before petitioning the Board. Motion carried. Franklin LaDien voted no.

CONSULT WITH LEGAL COUNSEL

15CV94 Pufall v. Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Franklin LaDien, to authorize the Chair to make the decision regarding 15CV94 Pufall v. Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board, following consultation with the Assistant Attorney General. Motion carried unanimously.

MOTION: Philip Trapskin moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to request DSPS staff research who the summons was served to in DSPS regarding 15CV94 Pufall v. Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board, and what the Departments procedures are for providing notification to the Board. The Board also requests information as to why it was not notified prior to today’s meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Terry Maves moved, seconded by Kristi Sullivan, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 5:01 p.m.
State of Wisconsin  
Department of Safety & Professional Services  

AGENDA REQUEST FORM  

1) Name and Title of Person Submitting the Request:  
Amber Cardenas, Board Counsel  

2) Date When Request Submitted:  
10.4.2016  
Items will be considered late if submitted after 12:00 p.m. on the deadline date which is 8 business days before the meeting  

3) Name of Board, Committee, Council, Sections:  

4) Meeting Date:  

5) Attachments:  
☒ Yes  
☐ No  

6) How should the item be titled on the agenda page?  
Board Member Recusal  

7) Place Item in:  
☐ Open Session  
☐ Closed Session  

8) Is an appearance before the Board being scheduled?  
☐ Yes (Fill out Board Appearance Request)  
☐ No  

9) Name of Case Advisor(s), if required:  

10) Describe the issue and action that should be addressed:  
Review information regarding ethical and legal obligations to recuse on certain matters at meetings.  

11) Authorization  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature of person making this request</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s/Amber Cardenas</td>
<td>10.4.2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor (if required)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive Director signature (indicates approval to add post agenda deadline item to agenda)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Directions for including supporting documents:  
1. This form should be attached to any documents submitted to the agenda.  
2. Post Agenda Deadline items must be authorized by a Supervisor and the Policy Development Executive Director.  
3. If necessary, provide original documents needing Board Chairperson signature to the Bureau Assistant prior to the start of a meeting.
Recusal

Board members are charged with making decisions that objectively represent the voice of the public, members of the profession, and those seeking entry into the profession. This means that as a board member you are not an advocate for a private interest group or professional association. As a public official, you are held to the highest standards of ethical and professional conduct, and should strive to avoid any relationship, activity or position that may influence the performance of your official duties as a board member.

It follows that you must recuse yourself from any conflict of interest that would compromise your neutrality in making decisions on the board. Ask yourself, “can I decide the issue, fairly and without bias, prejudice, or the impression or appearance of impropriety?” If not, you should recuse from the matter.

A conflict of interest is a type of interest that would result in some benefit, perceived benefit to you, or a bias or perceived bias in favor of or against a particular matter. Under any of the above circumstances, you may have an ethical duty to recuse. Factors to consider in deciding whether to recuse are whether the issue at hand involves a colleague, friend, family member or someone with a close business or social relationship. If yes, then it may be proper to recuse yourself from the matter. The more remote the relationship, professional association, or knowledge becomes, the further you may be removed from bias. You must consider whether you can render an impartial and unbiased decision.

Finally, when acting as a case advisor, you have a legal duty to recuse when the case involves a contested matter which is being deliberated and voted upon. Examples include:

- Reviews of Administrative Warnings
- Petitions for Summary Suspension
- Complaints for Probable Cause (Med Board)
- Administrative Law Judge Proposed Decision and Orders (ALJ PDOs).

The Case Advisor must recuse him or herself and leave the room for any contested matter. Board Counsel should be present for contested cases to answer any legal questions and to provide information to the prosecutor should the case be remanded.

The Department of Safety and Professional Services greatly appreciates your willingness to serve the public and those in your profession. If there are any questions about whether a Board member should recuse, please contact Board Legal Counsel.
List of Pilot approvals since 9/22/16 meeting

Stockbridge-Munsee Health & Wellness - Bowler
   a) TCT
   b) Automation
   c) Ratio

St. Clare’s Hosp – Weston
   a) TCT

UW Madison School of Pharm - Madison
   a) Ratio
There have been a number of inquiries made to the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy® (NABP®) regarding the recent pass rates for the North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination® (NAPLEX®) and Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination® (MPJE®). NABP develops program summary reports for the boards of pharmacy and the schools/colleges of pharmacy on a trimester schedule. However, given the inquiries regarding recent graduates’ performance and examples of erroneous data being shared, NABP offers the following information relevant to NAPLEX/MPJE performance outcomes.

**NAPLEX**

Table 1 displays first-attempt results for graduates from Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE)-accredited programs for the NAPLEX. Please note that 2016 data is complete through the month of August.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>13,369</td>
<td>13,786</td>
<td>12,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass Rate</td>
<td>94.88%</td>
<td>92.64%</td>
<td>88.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled Score</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>-4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NABP observed a three-year trend of slight decreases to the NAPLEX pass rates between 2012 and 2015. The new NAPLEX passing standard was implemented in November 2015. The 2016 results (to date) show a nearly 5% decrease in pass rates from the previous year.
Table 2 displays the first-attempt results for graduates from ACPE-accredited programs for the MPJE. Also note that 2016 data is complete through the month of August. The results displayed include MPJE scores for candidates who tested in the same jurisdiction as their pharmacy program.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8,263</td>
<td>8,474</td>
<td>7,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass Rate</td>
<td>95.17%</td>
<td>94.35%</td>
<td>85.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled Score</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the first-attempt results for 2016 graduates from ACPE-accredited programs for the MPJE by state. Please note that jurisdictions with low examinee numbers may appear skewed in relation to performance. At a low testing volume, pass rates may be unduly over-exaggerated when compared to more robust examinee numbers. For example, if as few as ten candidates tested during the specific time period and two of those candidates failed, the impact on performance statistics would be significantly different than a similar outcome amidst a total population of two thousand candidates. The following jurisdictions do not have pharmacy programs reporting for MPJE administrations: Arkansas, Delaware, New Hampshire, Vermont.

*Table 3 appears on the following page.*
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Pass Rate</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Pass Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>98.08%</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>82.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>96.75%</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>96.32%</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>82.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>96.15%</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>82.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>95.73%</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>93.86%</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>93.85%</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>81.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>93.53%</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>81.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>92.68%</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>92.12%</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>80.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>91.88%</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>77.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>90.77%</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>89.41%</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>88.89%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>74.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>88.49%</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>74.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>88.41%</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>74.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>87.98%</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>74.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86.67%</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>73.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>84.53%</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>83.24%</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>83.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have any questions regarding the preliminary data shared in this memo, please contact Maria Incrocci, competency assessment senior manager, at mincrocci@nabp.pharmacy. NABP will publish examination outcomes data on its new website at www.nabp.pharmacy/programs.

cc: NABP Executive Committee
NABP Advisory Committee on Examinations
Accreditation Council on Pharmacy Education