

Questions & Answers
Lehman Property
54971-9512-91-A
03-20186601

Q. In the consultants reports, supplied to the Copier, it is suggested that the 300 gallon UST, the suggested source of the petroleum contaminants in this case, was located where GP-1 was advanced. No where on any of the figures that we obtained, is the 300 gallon UST depicted. Can you please clarify the the 300 gallon UST was in fact located in the vicinity of GP-1.

If in fact, the 300 gallon UST was located in the vicinity of GP-1, this would put it between the two sheds which are shown on the figures, and apparently mentioned in the bid document. Given the scale of the figures, it does not appear the excavation would be possible without the removal of these sheds, particularly is excavation is proposed to 20 feet as suggested in the report. Is the owner willing to remove the sheds, or a portion of them, until the source removal has been completed?

A. The location of the gasoline UST is described on page 4 of the SI as between the garage and barn. This location corresponds with GP-1. As stated in the bid spec the garage and barn are a slab on grade construction, the RP has indicated that he does not intend to remove them. The distance between the barn and garage is approximately 12 feet. Since an environmental factor exists at this site, alternative soil remediation or a combination of technologies will be considered.