

Questions & Answers  
Dean's Liquor  
COMM # 53590-2223-20-A  
BRRTS # 03-13-184331

Question 1: On page 2, paragraph 3; it states that the excavation shall be a minimum of 300 cubic yards. On page 3 it states that for the purposes of the bid, assume that a minimum of 100 cubic yards must be excavated and disposed of off site. Does that mean that 200 cubic yards of clean soil will go back in the hole?

Answer: We're assuming 300 cubic yards of clean soil will go back in the hole (200 cubic yards of existing overburden backfilled + 100 cubic yards of imported clean soil). If the amount estimated of excavated soil to be disposed, etc... is significantly higher, then the winning bidder can request an adjustment to the bid amount. Keep the DNR Project Manager and Commerce informed of any/all changes in volume and tonnage of soil removed or backfilled. Documentation is vital.

Question 2: On page 2 there is a note that a sand-filled concrete bunker that formerly held the UST's may be encountered. If encountered, will the bunker have to be removed or will it be sufficient to only remove the fill from within the bunker? Does anything have to be done to the bunker at all or can it be left as is?

Answer: It will be sufficient to remove soil within the bunker, and the bunker can remain insitu.

Question 3: Page 3 states that if free product is present, it shall be recovered using absorbent socks or a similar method. Is bailing an acceptable method?

Answer: Bailing may be an acceptable method depending on the following free product thickness criteria. If free product thickness is 2 inches or less, then assume the use of socks. If free product thickness is more than 2 inches, then bailing would be considered an acceptable method.