

Questions and Answers
Dow's Corner Store – Hayward
54843-8315-36-A
03-58-000016

Q. Because the well nest will be on Wisconsin Department of Transportation right of way, should the consulting firm seek access permission from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, or, will the Department of Natural Resources seek this permission?

A. Obtaining access permission from the Department of Transportation will be the responsibility of the winning bidder.

Q. The bid document specifies that the "new wells be surveyed to both the local and national geodetic survey data". Current reports use local datum. Should future reports include both datum's (e.g. when tabulating GW elevation data, and presenting ground water flow contour maps)? That is, should all water surface level data be presented in two datum's? Please provide clarification. Do you know the location of a nearby USGS BM?

A. If possible, future reporting should reference both local and national geodetic survey data. A reasonable effort should be made by the winning bidder to tie local datum information to national geodetic survey data. According to the USGS Spider Lake quadrangle, there are benchmarks located on STH 77 approximately 0.8 miles west and 1 mile east of the site, respectively.

Q. Are quarterly progress reports still required? This is in regards to the February 8, 1993 consent order, and the March 21, 1995 request by the Department of Natural Resources that quarterly progress reports be prepared.

A. For at least the one-year period of this scope of work, the requirement for quarterly progress reports will be suspended. Progress reports shall be submitted according to the schedule specified in the Minimum Remedial Requirements section. Note that potable well results must also be submitted to the Department of Natural Resources within 10 days of receipt.

Q. Should ground water samples be collected from well D and MW-1, if any groundwater is in MW-1?

A. Because well D is apparently no longer in use, it should be abandoned as required by ch. NR 812, Wis. Adm. Code. However, abandonment of this well is not a requirement of this scope of work. Unless well D is put back in use, it will not be necessary for the winning bidder to collect samples from this well. MW-1 should be sampled if there is groundwater in the well.

Q. Should the two progress reports be prepared using the DNR Form 4400-194? Or, should the first report be a letter report with attachments as specified in the bid document?

A. As long as the progress reports contain the information required in the final paragraph of the Minimum Remedial Requirements section, the format for progress reporting will be left to the judgment of the winning bidder.