

Washburn City Garage
502 West Bayfield Street
Washburn, Wisconsin

Bid Round 20, Commerce #54891-9335-02, BRRTS #03-04-000361

Responses to Questions Related to Bid Solicitation

1. Have all 12 temporary monitoring wells been abandoned?

Response: The 12 temporary monitoring wells were abandoned by MSA Professional Services on November 28, 2001.

2. How many gallons of free product have been removed from MW-5?

Response: Between December 2000 and November 2001, MSA Professional Services recovered approximately 7.2 gallons of free product from MW-5. It is not known how much, if any, free product was removed by Twin Ports Testing during that firm's work on the project.

3. How is the product being removed?

Response: The free product was removed by bailing.

4. Is any of the removed product currently stored on site, or has it all been properly disposed of?

Response: According to MSA Professional Services, the recovered free product is currently stored in a drum located next to the City Garage.

5. Has the current owner of the former Carl Tutor property agreed to allow remediation on his property?

Response: According to information in the Department of Natural Resources' case file, the property in question is now owned by the City of Washburn.

6. Has an assessment been conducted to determine if there could be other sources of contamination (pertaining to the free product) besides the 3 former USTs located at the City garage?

Response: No assessment beyond the site investigation work documented in the Twin Ports Testing and MSA Professional Services reports has been performed.

7. Apparently there were 2 sheds located upgradient of MW-5, and downgradient of the 3 former USTs located at the City garage. Were there any tanks or spills in the area of these 2 sheds?

Response: The Department of Natural Resources is not aware of any tanks or spills in the area where the two sheds were formerly located.

8. A new ground water monitoring well was recommended for installation downgradient of MW-6 as described on page 17 of the site investigation report. Because of the presence of free product in MW-5, and the elevated soil petroleum contaminant concentrations in B-12, it would seem that a new ground water monitoring well downgradient of B-12 (south of the Tutor house) is necessary to determine if the downgradient extent of the ground water plume has been defined. Has any consideration been given to installing such a monitoring well (and/or a piezometer)?

Response: The monitoring well discussed in the site investigation report was proposed to be installed downgradient (southeast) of MW-6. Based on the more recent sample results from MW-6, however, it does not appear that the new well is necessary.