



**VIRTUAL/TELECONFERENCE
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING BOARD
Virtual, 4822 Madison Yards Way, Madison
Contact: Tom Ryan (608) 266-2112
December 11, 2024**

The following agenda describes the issues that the Board plans to consider at the meeting. At the time of the meeting, items may be removed from the agenda. Please consult the meeting minutes for a description of the actions of the Board.

AGENDA

9:30 A.M.

OPEN SESSION – CALL TO ORDER – ROLL CALL

- A. Adoption of Agenda (1-3)**
- B. Approval of Minutes of July 24, 2024 (4-5)**
- C. Introductions, Announcements, and Recognition
- D. Reminders: Conflicts of Interest, Scheduling Concerns
- E. Administrative Matters – Discussion and Consideration**
 - 1) Department, Staff and Board Updates
 - 2) Delegation of Authorities (6-19)**
 - 3) Board Members – Term Expiration Dates
 - a. Berumen, Blas R. – 7/1/2028
 - b. Borst, Donald A. – 7/1/2025
 - c. Grebe, Paul J. – 7/1/2027
 - d. Julson, Rachael S. – 7/1/2028
 - e. Szykutowicz, Timothy P. – 7/1/2024
- F. Administrative Rule Matters – Discussion and Consideration (20-29)**
 - 1) Rule drafting for RAD 1, 2, and 4 for definitions and scope of practice **(21-27)**
 - 2) Discussion of proposed new scope statement for RAD 1, 2, and 5 on License Requirements and Continuing Education **(28-29)**
 - 3) Discussion of Biennial Rules Report under s. 227.29 (1) Stats., on board rules which may be unauthorized, obsolete, or duplicative
 - 4) Pending or Possible Rulemaking Projects
- G. Discussion and Consideration of Items Added After Preparation of Agenda
 - 1) Introductions, Announcements and Recognition
 - 2) Nominations, Elections, and Appointments
 - 3) Administrative Matters
 - 4) Election of Officers
 - 5) Appointment of Liaisons and Alternates

- 6) Delegation of Authorities
- 7) Education and Examination Matters
- 8) Credentialing Matters
- 9) Practice Matters
- 10) Legislative and Policy Matters
- 11) Administrative Rule Matters
- 12) Liaison Reports
- 13) Public Health Emergencies
- 14) Board Liaison Training and Appointment of Mentors
- 15) Informational Items
- 16) Division of Legal Services and Compliance (DLSC) Matters
- 17) Presentations of Petitions for Summary Suspension
- 18) Petitions for Designation of Hearing Examiner
- 19) Presentation of Stipulations, Final Decisions and Orders
- 20) Presentation of Proposed Final Decisions and Orders
- 21) Presentation of Interim Orders
- 22) Petitions for Re-Hearing
- 23) Petitions for Assessments
- 24) Petitions to Vacate Orders
- 25) Requests for Disciplinary Proceeding Presentations
- 26) Motions
- 27) Petitions
- 28) Appearances from Requests Received or Renewed
- 29) Speaking Engagements, Travel, or Public Relation Requests, and Reports

H. Public Comments

CONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION to deliberate on cases following hearing (s. 19.85(1)(a), Stats.); to consider licensure or certification of individuals (s. 19.85(1)(b), Stats.); to consider closing disciplinary investigations with administrative warnings (ss. 19.85(1)(b), and 440.205, Stats.); to consider individual histories or disciplinary data (s. 19.85(1)(f), Stats.); and to confer with legal counsel (s. 19.85(1)(g), Stats.).

I. Credentialing Matters

- 1) **Application Review**
 - a. R.M. – Licensed Radiographer (IA 269520) **(30-165)**

J. Deliberation on Division of Legal Services and Compliance Matters

- 1) **Administrative Warnings**
 - a. 24 RAD 0010 – A.J.K. **(166-167)**
- 2) **Case Closings**
 - a. 23 RAD 004 – B.K. **(168-172)**
 - b. 24 RAD 0002 – L.E.B. **(173-175)**

K. Deliberation on Matters Relating to Order Fixing Costs

- 1) Luana S. Hammer, R.T.R. – DHA Case Number SPS-22-0066/DLSC Case Number 22 RAD 001 **(176-183)**

L. Deliberation of Items Added After Preparation of the Agenda

- 1) Education and Examination Matters
- 2) Credentialing Matters
- 3) DLSC Matters

- 4) Monitoring Matters
- 5) Professional Assistance Procedure (PAP) Matters
- 6) Petitions for Summary Suspensions
- 7) Petitions for Designation of Hearing Examiner
- 8) Proposed Stipulations, Final Decisions and Orders
- 9) Proposed Interim Orders
- 10) Administrative Warnings
- 11) Review of Administrative Warnings
- 12) Case Closings
- 13) Proposed Final Decisions and Orders
- 14) Matters Relating to Costs/Orders Fixing Costs
- 15) Board Liaison Training
- 16) Petitions for Assessments and Evaluations
- 17) Petitions to Vacate Orders
- 18) Remedial Education Cases
- 19) Motions
- 20) Petitions for Re-Hearing
- 21) Appearances from Requests Received or Renewed

M. Consulting with Legal Counsel

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING CLOSED SESSION

N. Vote on Items Considered or Deliberated Upon in Closed Session, if Voting is Appropriate

O. Open Session Items Noticed Above Not Completed in the Initial Open Session

ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING: MARCH 5, 2025

 MEETINGS AND HEARINGS ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, AND MAY BE CANCELLED WITHOUT NOTICE.

Times listed for meeting items are approximate and depend on the length of discussion and voting. All meetings are held virtually unless otherwise indicated. In-person meetings are typically conducted at 4822 Madison Yards Way, Madison, Wisconsin, unless an alternative location is listed on the meeting notice. In order to confirm a meeting or to request a complete copy of the board's agenda, please visit the Department website at <https://dps.wi.gov>. The board may also consider materials or items filed after the transmission of this notice. Times listed for the commencement of any agenda item may be changed by the board for the convenience of the parties. The person credentialed by the board has the right to demand that the meeting at which final action may be taken against the credential be held in open session. Requests for interpreters for the hard of hearing, or other accommodations, are considered upon request by contacting the Affirmative Action Officer or reach the Meeting Staff by calling 608-267-7213.

**VIRTUAL/TELECONFERENCE
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING BOARD
MEETING MINUTES
JULY 24, 2024**

PRESENT: Blas Berumen, Donald Borst, Paul Grebe, Rachael Julson

ABSENT: Timothy Szczykutowicz

STAFF: Tom Ryan, Executive Director; Jameson Whitney, Legal Counsel; Jake Pelegrin, Administrative Rules Coordinator; Tracy Drinkwater, Board Administration Specialist; and other Department staff

CALL TO ORDER

Donald Borst, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. A quorum of four (4) members was confirmed.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

MOTION: Paul Grebe moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to adopt the Agenda as published. Motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 28, 2024

MOTION: Rachael Julson moved, seconded by Paul Grebe, to approve the Minutes of February 28, 2024, as published. Motion carried unanimously.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULE MATTERS

Rule drafting for RAD 1, 2, and 4 for definitions and scope of practice

MOTION: Rachael Julson moved, seconded by Paul Grebe, to authorize the Chair to work with DSPS staff on preliminary rule drafting for rule RAD 1, 2, and 4 relating to Definitions and Scope of Practice. Motion carried unanimously.

Discussion of potential new scope statement

MOTION: Donald Borst moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to request DSPS staff draft a scope statement on rules RAD 1 and 2 relating to License Requirements. Motion carried unanimously.

CLOSED SESSION

MOTION: Rachael Julson moved, seconded by Paul Grebe, to convene to Closed Session to deliberate on cases following hearing (s. 19.85(1)(a), Stats.); to consider licensure or certification of individuals (s. 19.85(1)(b), Stats.); to consider closing disciplinary investigations with administrative warnings (s. 19.85(1)(b), and 440.205, Stats.); to consider individual histories or disciplinary data (s. 19.85(1)(f), Stats.); and to confer with legal counsel (s. 19.85(1)(g), Stats.). Donald Borst, Chairperson, read the language of the motion aloud for the record. The vote of each member was ascertained by voice vote. Roll Call Vote: Blas Berumen-yes; Donald Borst-yes; Paul Grebe-yes; and Rachael Julson-yes Motion carried unanimously.

The Board convened into Closed Session at 11:52 a.m.

DELIBERATION ON DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES AND COMPLIANCE MATTERS

Proposed Stipulation and Final Decision and Orders

24 RAD 001 – Elizabeth F. Allard

MOTION: Blas Berumen moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to adopt the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in the matter of disciplinary proceedings against Elizabeth F. Allard, DLSC Case Number 24 RAD 001. Motion carried unanimously.

CREDENTIALING MATTERS

Application Review

D.D.A. – Limited X-Ray Machine Operator (LXMO)

MOTION: Rachael Julson moved, seconded by Paul Grebe, to delegate the Limited X-Ray Machine Operator (LXMO) application of D.D.A., back to the credentialing liaison for further action as needed. Motion carried unanimously.

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

MOTION: Paul Grebe moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to reconvene in Open Session. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board reconvened in Open Session at 11:59 a.m.

VOTE ON ITEMS CONSIDERED OR DELIBERATED UPON IN CLOSED SESSION

MOTION: Donald Borst moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to affirm all motions made and votes taken in Closed Session. Motion carried unanimously.

(Be advised that any recusals or abstentions reflected in the closed session motions stand for the purposes of the affirmation vote.)

ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Paul Grebe moved, seconded by Rachael Julson, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:59 a.m.

**State of Wisconsin
Department of Safety & Professional Services**

AGENDA REQUEST FORM

1) Name and title of person submitting the request: Paralegal Richanda Turner, on behalf of Attorney Jameson Whitney		2) Date when request submitted: 09/25/2024 <small>Items will be considered late if submitted after 12:00 p.m. on the deadline date which is 8 business days before the meeting</small>	
3) Name of Board, Committee, Council, Sections: Radiography Examining Board			
4) Meeting Date: 12/11/2024	5) Attachments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	6) How should the item be titled on the agenda page? Delegation of Authorities	
7) Place Item in: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open Session <input type="checkbox"/> Closed Session	8) Is an appearance before the Board being scheduled? <i>(If yes, please complete Appearance Request for Non-DSPS Staff)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <Appearance Name(s)> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	9) Name of Case Advisor(s), if applicable: N/A	
10) Describe the issue and action that should be addressed: The Board members need to review and consider the delegation of authorities as it relates to the Board Monitoring Liaison.			
11) Authorization			
<i>Richanda Turner</i>		09.25.24	
Signature of person making this request		Date	
Supervisor (Only required for post agenda deadline items)		Date	
Executive Director signature (Indicates approval for post agenda deadline items)		Date	
Directions for including supporting documents: 1. This form should be saved with any other documents submitted to the Agenda Items folders. 2. Post Agenda Deadline items must be authorized by a Supervisor and the Policy Development Executive Director. 3. If necessary, provide original documents needing Board Chairperson signature to the Bureau Assistant prior to the start of a meeting.			



State of Wisconsin
DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
CORRESPONDENCE / MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 9, 2024

TO: Board, Council, and Committee Members

FROM: Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: Liaison Definitions and Delegations Explanations

Overall Purpose of Liaison Appointments

Each Board/Section (Board) has inherent authority that is established in our Wisconsin Statutes. This authority may change from Board to Board. For further information on your Board's authority review Wis. Stat. ch. 15. Generally, each Board has authority to grant credentials, discipline credential holders, and set standards for education and examinations. Additionally, Liaisons assist with the operations of the Boards purpose by weighing in on legislative matters, traveling to national conferences, or communicating with stakeholders.

The Department asks that each year the Boards make liaison appointments to assist the Board and Department to accomplish these tasks in an efficient manner. Your practical knowledge and experience, as an appointed member of a professional board, are essential in making determinations regularly. The Liaison positions below assist the Department to complete operations between Board meetings. In most cases, Liaisons can make decisions for the full Board in their designated area. These are determined through the delegation process. However, a Liaison may also decide to send the delegated issue to the full Board for consideration as appropriate. Delegations assist the Board in defining the roles and authorities of each Liaison.

Liaison Definitions

Credentialing Liaison: The Credentialing Liaison is empowered by the Board to review and make determinations regarding certain applications for credentials. The Credentialing Liaison may be called on by Department staff to answer questions that pertain to qualifications for licensure, which may include whether a particular degree is suitable for the application requirements, whether an applicant's specific work experience satisfies the requirements in statute or rule for licensure, or whether an applicant's criminal or disciplinary history is substantially related to the practice of the profession in such a way that granting the applicant a credential would create a risk of harm to the public. Questions will likely be sent by Department

staff to the Credentialing Liaison via email and may include application materials. The Credentialing Liaison serves a very important role in the credentialing process.

Monitoring Liaison: The Monitoring Liaison is empowered by the Board to make decisions on any credential that is limited either through a disciplinary order or initial licensure. The Department Monitors will send requests from credential holders to the Monitoring Liaison. These requests vary wildly. A common request could be to remove a limitation that has been placed on a credential or to petition for full licensure. The Monitoring Liaison can review these requests and make decisions on behalf of the Board. The Board has the authority to grant decision making latitude to their liaison to any degree. The specific monitoring delegations are found in the Monitoring Document attached to the agenda. If the Monitoring Liaison has a question on a request, it is advisable for the Liaison to consult further with Department staff or bring the matter to the full Board for consideration.

Professional Assistance Procedure (PAP) Liaison: PAP is a voluntary program open to credential holders with substance abuse issues who wish to seek help by being held accountable through treatment and monitoring by the Department and Board. As part of PAP, the credential holder enters into an agreement with the Department to undergo testing, counseling, or other rehabilitation. The PAP Liaison's role includes responding to credential holders' requests for modifications and terminations of provisions of the agreement. Similar to the Monitoring Liaison, the Department Monitors will send requests from credential holders to the PAP Liaison for further review.

Education and Examination Liaison: Some Boards are required by statute or rule to approve qualifying education and examinations. The Education and Examination Liaison provides guidance to Department staff to exercise authority of the Board to approve or decline examinations and educational programs. This determination requires a level of professional expertise and should be performed by a professional member of the Board. For some Boards, the Education and Examination Liaison will also be tasked with approving continuing education programs and courses.

Legislative Liaison: The Legislative Liaison is permitted to act and speak on the Board's behalf regarding pending and enacted legislation or actions being considered by the legislature outside of Board meetings. The Legislative Liaison is not the Board's designated lobbyist and should exercise their delegated authority carefully.

Travel Authorization Liaison: The Travel Authorization Liaison is authorized to approve a Board member to travel to events and speak or act on the Board's behalf between Board meetings. The Travel Authorization Liaison is called upon to make decisions when sufficient notice was not received, and the full Board could not determine a representative to travel. The Travel Authorization Liaison is tasked with making determinations if the Board appointed representative is not able to attend or if the Board becomes authorized to send additional members. As scholarship and funding streams can be unpredictable.

Communication Liaison: The Communication Liaison responds on behalf of the Board when questions arise that require a response from the Board. The Communication Liaison works with

the Department to cultivate an appropriate response. The Communication Liaison can be responsible for all types of communication on behalf of the Board. However, the Board can appoint a separate **Website Liaison** to work with DSPS staff to make changes and ensure the Board webpage contains updated and accurate information. Additionally, for the Boards that are required by statute to produce a newsletter or digest. The Board can appoint a separate **Newsletter/Digest Liaison** to assemble and approve content for those communications.

Screening Panel Members: The duties of the Screening panel are to review incoming complaints against credential holders and determine which complaints should be opened for investigation and which complaints should be closed without further action. The complexity and amount of work in this role depends substantially on your particular Board. As a member of the Screening panel you are asked to apply your professional expertise to determine if a complaint alleges unprofessional conduct.

Delegations Explanations

Credentialing Delegations

The overall purpose of credentialing delegations is to allow the credentialing process to proceed as efficiently and effectively as possible.

Delegation of Authority to Credentialing Liaison (Generic)

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Credentialing Liaison(s) to serve as a liaison between the Department and the Board and to act on behalf of the Board in regard to credentialing applications or questions presented to them, including the signing of documents related to applications.

PURPOSE: To permit one representative of the Board to assist Department staff with credentialing applications and eliminate the need for the entire Board to convene to consider credential application content or questions. Additionally, it is most efficient to have the designated liaison who has assisted with the credentialing process to be able to effectuate decisions which require a signature.

Delegation of Authority to DSPS When Credentialing Criteria is Met

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate credentialing authority to the Department to act upon applications that meet all credentialing statutory and regulatory requirements without Board or Board liaison review.

PURPOSE: To permit Department staff to efficiently issue credentials and eliminate the need for Board/Section/Liaison review when all credentialing legal requirements are met in an application.

Delegation of Authority for Predetermination Reviews

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department Attorneys to make decisions regarding predetermination applications pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 111.335(4)(f).

PURPOSE: In general, the Wisconsin Fair Employment Act (codified in Wis. Stat. Ch. 111) prohibits licensing agencies from discriminating against applicants because of their arrest and/or conviction record. However, there are exceptions which permit denial of a license in certain circumstances. Individuals who do not possess a license have a legal right to apply for a determination of whether they are disqualified from obtaining a license due to their conviction record. This process is called “Predetermination”. Predeterminations must be completed within 30 days. This delegation allows Department Attorneys to conduct predetermination reviews and efficiently make these legal determinations without need for Board/Section/Liaison review.

Delegation of Authority for Conviction Reviews

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department Attorneys to review and approve applications with convictions which are not substantially related to the practice.

PURPOSE: As used here, “substantially related” is a legal standard that is used in the Wisconsin Fair Employment Act. The concept of what is “substantially related” is informed by case law. This delegation permits Department Attorneys to independently conduct conviction reviews and efficiently approve applications if convictions are not substantially related to the practice of the profession. Applications that contain conviction records that may be substantially related to the practice of a profession will still be submitted to the Credentialing Liaison for input.

Delegation to DSPS When Applicant’s History Has Been Previously Reviewed

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to Department staff to approve applications where Applicant’s prior discipline has been approved for a previous credential and there is no new discipline.

PURPOSE: Some Boards offer progressive levels of credentials. This delegation eliminates the need for a re-review of discipline that has already been considered and approved by the Board/Section/Liaison for a lower-level credential.

Delegation to DSPS When Applicant’s Conviction History Has Been Previously Reviewed

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to Department staff to approve applications where criminal background checks have been approved for a previous credential and there is no new conviction record.

PURPOSE: Some Boards offer progressive levels of credentials. This delegation eliminates the need for a re-review of conviction history that has already been reviewed and approved for a lower-level credential.

Delegation of Authority for Reciprocity Reviews

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department Attorneys to review and approve reciprocity applications in which the out of state license requirements meet Wisconsin license requirements. (specific legal standards are referenced in the motion depending on credential/profession type).

PURPOSE: Applications via reciprocity or endorsement require comparison of Wisconsin licensing requirements to the licensing requirements of another jurisdiction. These reviews consider the legal standard for reciprocity, which varies by profession, as well as the specified legal requirements to obtain licensure in the profession. This delegation permits Department Attorneys to independently conduct reciprocity reviews and efficiently approve applications if legal standards and requirements are met for licensure. Applications for which reciprocity may not be available will still be submitted to the Credentialing Liaison for input.

Delegation of Authority for Military Reciprocity Reviews

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department Attorneys to review and approve military reciprocity applications in which the individual meets the requirements of Wis. Stat. § 440.09.

PURPOSE: The law permits service members, former service members, and their spouses to be licensed if they hold licensure in other jurisdictions that qualify them to perform acts authorized by the credential they are seeking in Wisconsin. This is a shortened path to licensure that does not require meeting the specific requirements/standards for licensure/reciprocity in a profession. By law, the Department/Board must expedite the issuance of a reciprocal license via military reciprocity. This delegation permits Department Attorneys to independently conduct military reciprocity reviews and efficiently approve applications if legal standards and requirements are met for licensure. Applications for which reciprocity may not be available will still be submitted to the Credentialing Liaison for input.

Delegation of Authority for Application Denial Reviews

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department's Attorney Supervisors to serve as the Board designee for purposes of reviewing and acting on requests for hearing as a result of a denial of a credential.

PURPOSE: When an application is denied, the applicant has a legal right to appeal the denial determination. Applicants must meet a specified legal standard in order to have an appeal granted. Additionally, Wisconsin law sets specific time frames for appeal decisions. This delegation permits Department Attorney Supervisors to independently review and efficiently act on requests for hearing as a result of a denial of a credential.

Delegation to Department Attorneys to Approve Duplicate Legal Issue

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to Department Attorneys to approve a legal matter in connection with a renewal application when that same/similar matter was already addressed by the Board and there are no new legal issues for that credential holder. Motion carried unanimously.

PURPOSE: The intent of this delegation is to be able to approve prior discipline by the Board for the renewal applicant. This delegation eliminates the need for a re-review of discipline that has already been considered and approved by the Board/Section/Liaison.

Monitoring Delegations

The overall purpose of monitoring delegations is to be able to enforce the Boards orders and limited licenses as efficiently and effectively as possible. Monitoring delegations have two categories: delegations to the monitoring liaison and delegations to the Department Monitor.

Delegation of Authority to Department Monitor

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Department Monitor

- a. to grant full reinstatement of licensure if education is the only limitation and credential holder has submitted the required proof of course completion.
- b. to suspend the credential if the credential holder has not completed Board ordered education, paid costs, paid forfeitures, within the time specified by the Board Order.
- c. to lift a suspension when compliance with education and costs provisions have been met.

PURPOSE: These delegations allow for the Department Monitor to automatically act on requests when certain criteria are met or not met without needing to burden the Board Monitoring Liaison. The Board can set their own criteria for what actions they would like to be handled by the Department, the Monitoring Liaison and the full Board.

Delegation of Authority to Monitoring Liaison

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Monitoring Liaison to approve or deny all requests received by the credential holder.

PURPOSE: These delegations allow the Board to set criteria for what decisions can be made by the Board member(s) serving as the Monitoring Liaison and what matters should be decided by the full Board. The Board has the authority to set specific criteria or to permit the liaison to make all determinations at their discretion.

Education and Exam Delegations

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Education and Examination Liaison(s) to address all issues related to continuing education and examinations. Motion carried unanimously. (Differs by Board)

PURPOSE: Some Boards are responsible for approving qualifying educational programs or continuing education courses. A delegation is executed in order for a Board member to make these determinations on behalf of the Boards and with assistance of the Department. Additionally, some Boards review examinations and individual scores to qualify for a credential.

Miscellaneous Delegations

Document Signature

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate authority to the Chairperson (or in absence of the Chairperson, the highest-ranking officer or longest serving board member in that succession) to sign documents on behalf of the Board in order to carry out its duties. Motion carried unanimously.

MOTION EXAMPLE: in order to carry out duties of the Board, the Chairperson (or in absence of the Chairperson, the highest-ranking officer or longest serving board member in that succession) has the ability to delegate signature authority for purposes of facilitating the completion of assignments during or between meetings. The members of the Board hereby delegate to the Executive Director, Board Counsel or DPD Division Administrator, the authority to sign on behalf of a Board member as necessary. Motion carried unanimously.

PURPOSE: In order to take the action approved at Board meetings, the Department may need to draft correspondence and/or Orders after the meetings have adjourned. These actions then need to be signed by a Board Member. This interaction usually takes place over email and a Board member can authorize the use of his/her signature that is kept on file.

Urgent Matters

MOTION EXAMPLE: in order to facilitate the completion of urgent matters between meetings, the Board delegates its authority to the Chairperson (or, in the absence of the Chairperson, the highest-ranking officer or longest serving Board member in that succession), to appoint liaisons to the Department to act in urgent matters. Motion carried unanimously.

PURPOSE: Allows for quick responses to urgent matters that may need Board approval or for which the Department requires guidance from the Board.

Delegation to Chief Legal Counsel

Due to Loss of Quorum

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate the review and authority to act on disciplinary cases to the Department's Chief Legal Counsel due to lack of/loss of quorum after two consecutive meetings. Motion carried unanimously.

PURPOSE: Sometimes Boards can struggle to meet quorum necessary to conduct business. This happens for a multitude of reasons but this delegation allows for the Boards to have disciplinary cases decided by Chief Legal Counsel if the Board fails to meet quorum for two consecutive meetings.

Stipulated Resolutions

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate to the Department's Chief Legal Counsel (CLC) the authority to act on behalf of the Board concerning stipulated resolutions providing for a surrender, suspension, or revocation of a credential, where the underlying merits involve serious and dangerous behavior, and where the signed stipulation is received between Board meetings. The Board further requests that CLC only act on such matters when the best interests of the Board, Department and the Public are best served by acting upon the stipulated resolution at the time the signed stipulation is received versus waiting for the next Board meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

PURPOSE: For matters of public safety, it may be necessary to take immediate action on a stipulated agreement rather than allowing a credential holder to continue practicing unencumbered until the next scheduled meeting. This delegation allows CLC to act on behalf of the Board when there is a stipulated agreement. A stipulated agreement is an agreement to which all relevant parties have consented to the terms.

Voluntary Surrenders

MOTION: to delegate authority to the assigned case advisor to accept or refuse a request for voluntary surrender pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 440.19 for a credential holder who has a pending complaint or disciplinary matter.

MOTION: to delegate authority to the Department to accept the voluntary surrender of a credential when there is no pending complaint or disciplinary matter with the Department pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 440.19.

PURPOSE: Credential holders can ask the Boards to surrender their credentials at any time. These delegations are in place for the different situations that arise from those requests. If a credential holder is seeking to surrender their credential because they wish to leave the profession that can be processed with this delegation by the Department if they have no pending disciplinary complaints. If the credential holder wishes to surrender while they have a pending disciplinary complaint that request is reviewed by the individual Board member assigned to the case.

DLSC Pre-screening

MOTION EXAMPLE: to delegate pre-screening decision making authority to the DSPS screening attorney for opening cases where the credential holder has failed to respond to allegations contained in the complaint when requested by intake (Case will be opened on failure to respond and the merits of the complaint).

PURPOSE: Pre-Screening delegations exist so the Board can define specific parameters where the Department can review disciplinary complaints and open those cases if they meet certain criteria. Boards also have the authority to set certain criteria that would allow the Department to review and close a case if the criteria is met.

Roles and Authorities Delegated for Monitoring

The Monitoring Liaison (“Liaison”) is a Board/Section designee who works with department monitors (“Monitor”) to enforce Board/Section orders as explained below.

Authorities Delegated to the Monitoring Liaison

The Liaison may take the following actions on behalf of the Board/Section:

1. Grant a temporary reduction in random drug screen frequency upon Respondent’s request if he/she is unemployed and is otherwise compliant with Board/Section order. The temporary reduction will be in effect until Respondent secures employment in the profession. The Department Monitor (“Monitor”) will draft an order and sign on behalf of the Liaison.
2. Grant a stay of suspension if Respondent is eligible per the Board/Section order. The Monitor will draft an order and sign on behalf of the Liaison.
3. Remove the stay of suspension if there are repeated violations or a substantial violation of the Board/Section order. In conjunction with removal of any stay of suspension, the Liaison may prohibit Respondent from seeking reinstatement of the stay for a specified period of time. The Monitor will draft an order and sign on behalf of the Liaison.
4. Grant or deny approval when Respondent proposes continuing/disciplinary/remedial education courses, treatment providers, mentors, supervisors, change of employment, etc. unless the order specifically requires full-Board/Section approval.
5. Grant full reinstatement of licensure if Respondent has fully complied with all terms of the order without deviation. The Monitor will draft an order and obtain written authorization from the Liaison to sign on their behalf.
6. Grant or deny a request to appear before the Board/Section in closed session.
7. The Liaison may determine whether Respondent’s petition is eligible for consideration by the full Board/Section.
8. Accept Respondent’s written request to surrender credential. If accepted by the Liaison, Monitor will consult with Board Counsel to determine if a stipulation is necessary. If a stipulation is not necessary, Monitor will draft an order and sign on behalf of the Liaison. If denied by the Liaison, the request to surrender credential will go to the full Board for review. (Except PHM, MED)

9. Grant Respondent's petition for a reduction in drug screens per the standard schedule, below. If approved, Monitor will draft an order and sign on behalf of the Liaison. Orders that do not start at 49 screens will still follow the same standard schedule.
 - a. Initial: 49 screens (including 1 hair test, if required by original order)
 - b. 1st Reduction: 36 screens (plus 1 hair test, if required by original order)
 - c. 2nd Reduction: 28 screens plus 1 hair test
 - d. 3rd Reduction: 14 screens plus 1 hair test
10. (*Dentistry only*) Ability to approve or deny all requests from a respondent.
11. The Liaison may approve or deny Respondent's request to be excused from drug and alcohol testing for work, travel, etc. (Applies only to these Boards: Dietitians, Massage/Bodywork Therapy Board, DEN, PAB, CHI, MED, RAD)
12. The Liaison may have full authority to approve or deny a request from a Respondent that otherwise would require the approval of the full Board if the request cannot be heard and voted on due to lack of/loss of quorum.
13. The Liaison may have full authority to terminate any treatment ONLY upon written request from Respondent and written recommendation from Respondents treater.

Authorities Delegated to the Department Monitor

The Monitor may take the following actions on behalf of the Board/Section, draft an order and sign:

1. Grant full reinstatement of licensure if education is the sole condition of the limitation and Respondent has submitted the required proof of completion for approved courses.
2. Suspend the license if Respondent has not completed Board/Section-ordered education and/or paid costs and forfeitures within the time specified by the Board/Section order. The Monitor may remove the suspension and issue an order when proof of completion and/or payment have been received.
3. Suspend the license (or remove stay of suspension) if Respondent fails to enroll and participate in an Approved Program for drug and alcohol testing within 30 days of the order, or if Respondent ceases participation in the Approved Program without Board approval. This delegated authority only pertains to respondents who must comply with drug and/or alcohol testing requirements.
4. Grant or deny approval when Respondent proposes treatment providers [mentors, supervisors, etc.] unless the Order specifically requires full-Board/Section or Board designee approval. (Except for MED)
5. Grant a maximum of one 90-day extension, if warranted and requested in writing by Respondent, to complete Board/Section-ordered continuing/disciplinary/remedial education.

6. Grant a maximum of one 90-day extension or payment plan for proceeding costs and/or forfeitures if warranted and requested in writing by Respondent.
7. Grant a maximum of one 90-day extension, if warranted and requested in writing by Respondent, to complete a Board/Section-ordered evaluation or exam.

Authorities Delegated to Board Legal Counsel

Board Legal Counsel may take the following actions on behalf of the Board/Section:

1. Sign Monitoring orders that result from Board/Section meetings on behalf of the Board/Section Chair.

Updated 03/13/2023

2022 Roles & Authorities

Delegation to Monitoring Liaison

MOTION: [Board Member Name] moved, seconded by [Board Member Name], to delegate authority to the Monitoring Liaison(s) to make any determination on Orders under monitoring and to refer to the Full Board any matter the Monitoring Liaison deems appropriate. Motion carried [] .

Delegation to Department Monitor

MOTION: [Board Member Name] moved, seconded by [Board Member Name], to delegate authority to the Department Monitor as outlined below:

1. to grant reinstatement of licensure if education and/or costs are the sole condition of the order and the credential holder has submitted the required proof of completion for approved courses and paid the costs.
2. to suspend the license if the credential holder has not completed Board ordered education and/or paid costs and forfeitures within the time specified by the Board order. The Department Monitor may remove the suspension and issue an order when proof of completion and/or payment has been received.
3. to suspend the license (or remove stay of suspension) if a credential holder fails to enroll and participate in an Approved Program for drug and alcohol testing within 30 days of the order, or if credential holder ceases participation in the Approved Program without Board approval. This delegated authority only pertains to respondents who must comply with drug and/or alcohol testing requirements.
4. to grant or deny approval when a credential holder proposes treatment providers, mentors, and supervisors unless the Order specifically requires full-Board or Board designee approval.
5. to grant a maximum of one 90-day extension, if warranted and requested in writing by a credential holder, to complete Board ordered continuing, disciplinary, or remedial education.
6. to grant a maximum of one 90-day extension or payment plan for proceeding costs and/or forfeitures if warranted and requested in writing by a credential holder.
7. to grant a maximum of one 90-day extension, if warranted and requested in writing by a credential holder, to complete a Board ordered evaluation or exam.

Motion carried [] .

**State of Wisconsin
Department of Safety & Professional Services**

AGENDA REQUEST FORM

1) Name and title of person submitting the request: Jake Pelegrin Administrative Rules Coordinator		2) Date when request submitted: 11/29/24 Items will be considered late if submitted after 12:00 p.m. on the deadline date which is 8 business days before the meeting	
3) Name of Board, Committee, Council, Sections: Radiography Examining Board			
4) Meeting Date: 12/11/24	5) Attachments: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	6) How should the item be titled on the agenda page? Administrative Rule Matters – Discussion and Consideration 1. Rule drafting for RAD 1, 2, and 4 for Definitions and Scope of Practice 2. Discussion of proposed new scope statement for RAD 1, 2, and 5 on License Requirements and Continuing Education 3. Discussion of Biennial Rules Report under s. 227.29 (1) Stats., on board rules which may be unauthorized, obsolete, or duplicative 4. Pending or possible rulemaking items	
7) Place Item in: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open Session <input type="checkbox"/> Closed Session	8) Is an appearance before the Board being scheduled? <i>(If yes, please complete Appearance Request for Non-DSPS Staff)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	9) Name of Case Advisor(s), if required: N/A	
10) Describe the issue and action that should be addressed: Attachments: -Drafting materials for RAD 1, 2, and 4 -Proposed new scope statement for RAD 1, 2, and 5 on License Requirements and Continuing Education			
11) <i>Jake Pelegrin</i>		Authorization 11/29/24	
Signature of person making this request		Date	
Supervisor (if required)		Date	
Executive Director signature (indicates approval to add post agenda deadline item to agenda)		Date	
Directions for including supporting documents: 1. This form should be attached to any documents submitted to the agenda. 2. Post Agenda Deadline items must be authorized by a Supervisor and the Policy Development Executive Director. 3. If necessary, provide original documents needing Board Chairperson signature to the Bureau Assistant prior to the start of a meeting.			

Summary of key points for rule drafting on this rule:

-The board can discuss all the definitions proposed here, and make any changes you would like.

-However, keep in mind that in order to create a definition, we have to use that term in a regulation somewhere else in the code. We can't have a definition that isn't used somewhere else in the code.

-During our rule drafting discussion with the Chair, we tentatively came to the conclusion that for the technologies not under the Board's jurisdiction (MRIs, radiation therapy, etc.), the best course of action is not to mention them in the rule text, but to consider putting out that information in a different way. Perhaps on the Department website as an FAQ page.

-The Board does have the option of describing them in an informational note in the code, but this has benefits and drawbacks that we can discuss.

Wisconsin statutes

462.01 Definitions. In this chapter:

- (1) "Board" means the radiography examining board.
- (2) "Bone densitometry" means the quantitative assessment of bone mass using single or dual energy X-ray absorptiometry.
- (3) "Department" means the department of safety and professional services.
- (4) "Physician" means a person licensed to practice medicine and surgery under s. [448.04 \(1\) \(a\), \(b\), or \(bg\)](#).
- (5) "Practice of radiography" means the imaging of anatomical structures, produced by the combined application of X-rays to the human body and the application of knowledge in the fields of anatomy, radiographic positioning, and radiographic technique, and knowledge of principles of radiation protection, for the purpose of medical diagnosis except that "practice of radiography" does not include bone densitometry.

STATE OF WISCONSIN
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING	:	PROPOSED ORDER OF THE
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE	:	RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING
RADIOGRAPHY EXAMINING	:	BOARD
BOARD	:	ADOPTING RULES
	:	(CLEARINGHOUSE RULE)

PROPOSED ORDER

An order of the Radiography Examining Board to **create** RAD 1 relating to Definitions and Scope of Practice.

Analysis prepared by the Department of Safety and Professional Services.

ANALYSIS

Statutes interpreted:

Section 462.06 (2), Stats.

Statutory authority:

Sections 15.08 (5) (b), 227.11 (2) (a), and 462.06 (2), Stats.

Explanation of agency authority:

Section 15.08 (5) (b), Stats., provides that each examining board “[s]hall promulgate rules for its own guidance and for the guidance of the trade or profession to which it pertains, and define and enforce professional conduct and unethical practices not inconsistent with the law relating to the particular trade or profession.”

Section 227.11 (2) (a), Stats., provides that “Each agency may promulgate rules interpreting the provisions of any statute enforced or administered by the agency, if the agency considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute, but a rule is not valid if the rule exceeds the bounds of correct interpretation.”

Section 462.06 (2), Stats.: “The board may promulgate rules that establish a code of ethics for persons who hold a license or limited X-ray machine operator permit under s. 462.03.”

Related statute or rule:

None.

Plain language analysis:

The objective of the proposed rule is to review the definitions and scope of practice for radiographers in Rad 1, 2, and 4, and to consider clarifying when a radiography license is required in various medical roles and in the use of various medical technologies.

Current rules concerning definitions and scope of practice for radiographers and limited x-ray machine operators are unclear on the use of various medical imaging technologies that are commonly used in the field. Also, the definitions and scope of practice are unclear whether various related medical roles are required to have a radiography license. The proposed rules would clarify the scope of practice for radiographers and limited x-ray machine operators in the use of various medical technologies, and would clarify when a radiography license is required to perform various related medical roles.

Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:

None.

Summary of public comments received on statement of scope and a description of how and to what extent those comments and feedback were taken into account in drafting the proposed rule:

N/A

Comparison with rules in adjacent states:

Illinois:

Rules of the Illinois Emergency Management Agency provide for credentialing in the use of medical radiation technology in Illinois [32 Ill. Admin. Code 401]. These rules require the credentialing of any person who applies ionizing radiation to humans or who otherwise uses medical radiation technology, unless specifically exempted by their statutes and rules. This is a broad requirement and includes the disciplines of medical radiography, nuclear medicine technology, radiation therapy technology, and chiropractic radiography. Their code is extremely comprehensive on this topic and provides definitions and regulations on these medical roles and many more. It also provides definitions and regulations on the different types of medical imaging technologies. Applicants can be credentialled in one or more of the following categories: Medical Radiography, Nuclear Medicine Technology, Radiation Therapy Technology, Chiropractic Radiography, Limited Diagnostic Radiography, Radiologist Assistant, and Nuclear Medicine Advanced Associate.

Iowa:

641 IAC 42 requires credentialing of individuals who operate or use ionizing radiation producing machines or administer radioactive materials on or to human patients or human research subjects for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. The code does provide exemptions for licensed medical practitioners. Similar to Illinois, the code provides definitions for the various related medical imaging technologies and medical roles, such as computed tomography, nuclear medicine procedure, nuclear medicine technologist,

PET/CT, radiation therapist, and others. It provides comprehensive regulations for licensees in the following categories: general radiologic technologist, general nuclear medicine technologist, radiation therapist, radiologist assistant, limited radiologic technologist, and X-ray equipment operator, and others.

Michigan:

The State of Michigan does not license operators of x-ray machines, nor does it have any requirements relative to the licensure or credentialing of x-ray machine operators except for operators of mammography machines (Mich Admin Code, R 333.5630) and CT machines (Mich Admin Code, R 325.5705). Mammographic examinations must be performed by a radiologic technologist who meets the requirements of 21 C.F.R. 900.12 (a) (2), "Radiologic technologists" (2000). CT examinations must be performed by a radiologic technologist who meets the Michigan code's licensing requirements or by a licensed physician or osteopathic physician.

Minnesota:

The Minnesota Statutes, Section 144.121 regulate the use of x-ray systems on living humans. To operate an X-ray system, individuals must have passed a national or state examination. These include the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists (ARRT) radiography examination, the American Chiropractic Registry of Radiologic Technologists examination, the ARRT radiation therapy examination, the Minnesota examination for limited scope x-ray operators, the Minnesota examination for bone densitometry equipment operators, or others. Cardiovascular technologists must be credentialed by Cardiovascular Credentialing International. Nuclear medicine technologists must be credentialed by the Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board, the ARRT for nuclear medicine technology, or the American Society of Clinical Pathologists. Minnesota also provides credentialing exemptions for licensed dental health practitioners and other health care practitioners.

Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies:

The proposed rules were developed by consulting with the Radiography Examining Board, by reviewing the current statutes and codes that regulate the use of medical imaging technologies, and determining where more clarity and updates are needed in the code.

Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business or in preparation of economic impact analysis:

The proposed rules will be posted for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on the economic impact of the proposed rule, including how this proposed rule may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals.

Fiscal Estimate and Economic Impact Analysis:

The Fiscal Estimate and Economic Impact Analysis will be attached upon completion.

Effect on small business:

These proposed rules do not have an economic impact on small businesses, as defined in s. 227.114 (1), Stats. The Department's Regulatory Review Coordinator may be contacted at Jennifer.Garrett@wisconsin.gov or (608) 266-2112.

Agency contact person:

Jake Pelegrin, Administrative Rules Coordinator, Department of Safety and Professional Services, Division of Policy Development, P.O. Box 8366, Madison, Wisconsin 53708-8366; telephone 608-267-0989; email at DSPSAdminRules@wisconsin.gov.

Place where comments are to be submitted and deadline for submission:

Comments may be submitted to Jake Pelegrin, Administrative Rules Coordinator, Department of Safety and Professional Services, Division of Policy Development, 4822 Madison Yards Way, P.O. Box 8366, Madison, WI 53708-8366, or by email to DSPSAdminRules@wisconsin.gov. Comments must be received at or before the public hearing to be included in the record of rule-making proceedings.

TEXT OF RULE

SECTION 1. RAD 1.02 (3m) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (3m) "Computed tomography" means the production of a tomogram by the acquisition and computer processing of x-ray transmission data.

SECTION 2. RAD 1.02 (8m) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (8m) "Ionizing radiation" means gamma rays, x-rays, alpha and beta particles, high speed electrons, neutrons, protons, and other nuclear particles; but not sound or radio waves, or visible, infrared or ultraviolet light.

SECTION 3. RAD 1.02 (13c) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (13c) "Nuclear medicine technologist" means a person who administers radiopharmaceuticals and related drugs to human beings for diagnostic purposes, performs in vivo and in vitro detection and measurement of radioactivity and administers radiopharmaceuticals to human beings for therapeutic purposes.

SECTION 4. RAD 1.02 (13g) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (13g) "Nuclear medicine" means the science and art of in vivo and in vitro detection and measurement of radioactivity and the administration of radiopharmaceuticals to human beings for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

SECTION 5. RAD 1.02 (13n) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (13n) "Positron emission tomography" means a nuclear medicine imaging technique that produces a three-dimensional image of functional processes in the body by detecting pairs of gamma rays emitted indirectly by a positron-emitting radionuclide.

SECTION 5. RAD 1.02 (13m) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (13m) "PET-CT" means a nuclear medicine imaging technique that combines the use of positron emission tomography and computed tomography to create a single image.

SECTION 8. RAD 1.02 (17) is created to read:

RAD 1.02 (17) "X-ray" means a type of electromagnetic radiation with wavelengths shorter than those of ultraviolet rays and longer than those of gamma rays, which penetrates solid substances and can be used for medical purposes.

SECTION 9. RAD 4.02 (3) is created to read:

RAD 4.02 (3) COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY. Performing the aspects of computed tomography which involve applying x-rays to patients requires a radiographer license. Performing the aspects of computed tomography which do not involve applying x-rays to patients does not require a radiographer license.

SECTION 10. RAD 4.02 (4) is created to read:

RAD 4.02 (4) NUCLEAR MEDICINE. Performing the aspects of nuclear medicine which involve applying x-rays to patients for imaging and diagnostic purposes requires a radiographer license. Performing the aspects of nuclear medicine which do not involve applying x-rays to patients does not require a radiographer license.

(a) Performing positron emission tomography does not require a radiographer license.

(b) Performing PET-CT scans does not require a radiographer license.

Or...

(b) Performing PET-CT scans does not require a radiographer license, because...

SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE. The rules adopted in this order shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register, pursuant to s. 227.22 (2) (intro.), Stats.

(END OF TEXT OF RULE)

This Proposed Order of the Radiography Examining Board is approved for submission to the Governor and Legislature.

Dated _____

Agency _____

Chairperson
Radiography Examining Board

STATEMENT OF SCOPE

Radiography Examining Board

Rule No.: Chapter RAD 1, 2, and 5

Relating to: License Requirements and Continuing Education

Rule Type: Permanent

1. Finding/nature of emergency (Emergency Rule only):

N/A

2. Detailed description of the objective of the proposed rule:

The objective of the proposed rule is to review the requirements for licensure and continuing education in chapters RAD 2 and 5 and to consider allowing certain certifications to count towards licensure and continuing education requirements.

3. Description of the existing policies relevant to the rule, new policies proposed to be included in the rule, and an analysis of policy alternatives:

Current rules concerning definitions, licensure, and continuing education requirements for radiographers and limited x-ray machine operators are in chapters RAD 1, 2, and 5. The board would like to review license and continuing education requirements and consider allowing certain certifications related to radiography to count towards some licensure and continuing education requirements.

This rule could open up more pathways to licensure, and if this scope statement is not opened, this opportunity would be missed.

4. Detailed explanation of statutory authority for the rule (including the statutory citation and language):

Section 15.08 (5) (b), Stats., states that “[each examining board] [s]hall promulgate rules for its own guidance and for the guidance of the trade or profession to which it pertains and define and enforce professional conduct and unethical practices not inconsistent with the law relating to the particular trade or profession.”

Section 227.11 (2) (a), Stats., states that an agency “may promulgate rules interpreting the provisions of any statute enforced or administered by the agency, if the agency considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute, but a rule is not valid if the rule exceeds the bounds of correct interpretation.”

Section 462.03 (2), Stats.: “Subject to sub. (1), the board shall grant a license to practice radiography to a person who passes an examination administered by the board and submits evidence satisfactory to the board that the person has completed a course of study in radiography that has been approved by the board or an equivalent course of study, as determined by the board.”

Section 462.03 (3), Stats.: “Subject to sub. (1), the board shall grant a limited X-ray machine operator permit to perform radiography to a person who passes an examination administered by the board and submits evidence satisfactory to the board that the person has completed an appropriate course of study, as determined by the board.”

Section 462.06 (1) (a) to (c), Stats.: “(1) The board shall promulgate rules that do all of the following:

(a) Establish standards for courses of study in radiography. The standards shall be no less stringent than the standards adopted by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology or a successor organization.

(b) Establish standards for examinations under s. 462.03 (2) and (3). Notwithstanding s. 462.03 (2) and (3), the rules may permit a person to satisfy the examination requirement by providing the board with evidence satisfactory to the board that the person holds a current registration by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists or a successor organization or is currently licensed to practice radiography in another state with examination standards at least as stringent as those promulgated by the board under this paragraph. The board may adopt a limited scope radiography examination administered by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists, a successor organization, or other recognized national voluntary credentialing body, if the examination standards are at least as stringent as those adopted by the board under this paragraph.

(c) Establish continuing education standards for renewal of licenses and limited X-ray machine operator permits issued under this chapter. The standards adopted under this paragraph may recognize current certification by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists or a successor organization as evidence of compliance with the continuing education standards established under this paragraph, if the continuing education requirements for such certification are no less stringent than those adopted by the board under this paragraph.”

5. Estimate of amount of time that state employees will spend developing the rule and of other resources necessary to develop the rule:

70 hours

6. List with description of all entities that may be affected by the proposed rule:

Radiographer licensees and limited x-ray machine operator permit holders and those looking to enter the profession.

7. Summary and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule:

None.

8. Anticipated economic impact of implementing the rule (note if the rule is likely to have a significant economic impact on small businesses):

The proposed rule will have minimal to no economic impact on small businesses and the state’s economy as a whole.

Contact Person: Jake Pelegrin, Administrative Rules Coordinator, DSPSAdminRules@wisconsin.gov, (608) 267-0989

Approved for publication:

Approved for implementation:

Authorized Signature

Authorized Signature